PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0.8	MAY	2006
WIPO	*		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FU		FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
1 ''			International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. G06F17/60								
Applicant ISSUEBITS LIMITED et al.								
			eliminary examination re nsmitted to the applican		is International Preliminary Examining 36.			
2. 7	This REPORT co	onsists of a total	of 5 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.				
3. 7	This report is also	o accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisin	g:				
a	a. \square sent to the	e applicant and t	o the International Bure	au) a total of sheets,	as follows:			
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	beyor	ts which superse nd the disclosure lemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but wl in the international app	nich this Authority con lication as filed, as ind	siders contain an amendment that goes licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
k	seguence	listing and/or tal	Bureau only) a total of (ir bles related thereto, in e ing (see Section 802 of	lectronic form only, as	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a indicated in the Supplemental Box ructions).			
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	elating to the following it	ems:				
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the rep	port					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority .						
. [☐ Box No. III	Non-establishm	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability			
	□ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention					
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Article 35(2 ations and explanations) with regard to novelt supporting such state	ty, inventive step or industrial ment			
	□ Box No. VI	Certain docume						
			in the international app					
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application				
Date of	Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of t	his report				
17.01.2006				09.05.2006				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			nal	Authorized officer	asches Patentam,			
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			3as	Blanco Cardona, F				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

ŢΙ

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001040

	Box	No. I	Basis of the report			
1.	With	With regard to the language , this report is based on				
	\boxtimes	the inte	ernational application	n the language in which it was filed		
		of a tra □ inte □ pub	nslation furnished for rnational search (und lication of the interna	nal application into , which is the language the purposes of: er Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) ional application (under Rule 12.4(a)) examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))		
2.	have	e been	furnished to the recei	he international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ring Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this not annexed to this report):		
	Des	cription	, Pages			
	1-17			as originally filed		
	Clair	ms, Nuı	mbers			
	1-25			as originally filed		
	Drav	awings, Sheets				
	1/1			as originally filed		
		a sequ	ence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (spe	Ited in the cancellation of: cify): quence listing (specify):		
4.	□ had Sup	not be plemer the the the the the	en made, since they let all Box (Rule 70.2(c) description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (spe			
	*	Tf it	em 4 applies. so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001040

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No:

Claims

24,25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-25

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2003/050042 A1 (OLAH GEZA) 13 March 2003 (2003-03-13)

D2: US 2001/054064 A1 (KANNAN PALLIPURAM V) 20 December 2001 (2001-

12-20)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a method simplifying the technical infrastructure deployed in a system for processing questions sent from a mobile telephone over a wireless bearer, comprising the steps of receiving a question sent from the mobile phone (paragraphs 12-13), handling the question and sending the answer in plain text to the mobile phone (paragraph 14); wherein the question is not restricted to any category of question types (they actually can relate to different categories or fields as stated in paragraph 18) and is sent using a premium rate text service (paragraphs 8 and 32).

The following features are also defined in claim 1:

- 1. handling the question by sending it out for review by one or more human researchers to compose an answer.
- 2. the question is expressed in natural language.

These features (as it would also be the case of the feature of the questions not being restricted to predefined categories) are sustained on the fact that said questions are meant to be dealt (at least in some cases) by human operators. This aspect as such has no technical content but the fact that the system receiving the questions must present a user's interface (i.e. input/output computer means) for the human operators.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001040

Said human operators interface in systems to process questions is well known by the person skilled in the art as shown in document D2 where the possibility of sending queries from a cellular phone is even suggested (see paragraph 62 and Fig. 1).

Consequently, the combination of premium rate text service and use of natural language questions as defined in the formulation of method claim 1 could be a new service idea but it is not regarded as a technical invention as it does not define technical aspects (basically adding human support) that involve an inventive step in relation to the technical prior art.

It is also not regarded as solving any technical problem related to the display limitations of mobile phones, as the use of human operators to give more concise answers is regarded not as solution for said technical problem but an idea to circumvent the technical problem by applying non-technical features.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve any inventive step.

Independent claims 24(**) and 25 only define features corresponding to some of the features defined in claim 1. Said features are already disclosed in D1. For claim 24(**), see D1, paragraph 14. For claim 25, see D1, paragraphs 3 and 14.

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claims 24(**) and 25 is not novel.

Dependent claims 2-24(*) do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

Claim number 24 has been mistakenly used twice in the filed set of claims. References including a (*) refer to the first instance of said claim number in the set of claims and the references including a (**) refer to the second instance of said claim number in the set of claims.